

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DAT	E E	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/050,167	01/18/2002		Andrew Lilburn	P21745	7601
7055	7590 02/20/2004			EXAMINER ALVO, MARC S	
GREENBLU	JM & BERNST				
1950 ROLAND CLARKE PLACE RESTON, VA 20191				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
RESTON, V	A 20191			1731	-

DATE MAILED: 02/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No. Applicant(s)						
# - A*	10/050,167 LILBURN, ANDREW						
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Steve Alvo	1731					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 02 February 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Apple Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	CE THIS APPLICATION IN CON avoid abandonment of this appl (1) a timely filed amendment wheal (with appeal fee); or (3) a ting	NDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. ication. A proper reply to a					
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The chave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the status of the shorten (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF T date on which the petition under 37 CFR ension and the corresponding amount of t ed statutory period for reply originally set months after the mailing date of the final r	HE FINAL RÉJECTION. See MPEP 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee the fee. The appropriate extension fee under in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in ejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellar 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 C	nt's Brief must be filed within the FR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissa	e period set forth in at of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
thou raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without cand	celing a corresponding number of	ot tinally rejected claims.					
a D Applicant's rophy has evercome the following rejection(s):							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment and canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5.⊠ The a)□ affidavit, b)□ exhibit, or c)⊠ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place to application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .							
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly							
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:	• •						
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
		by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure State	ement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10.☐ Other:							

Steve Alvo Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1731 Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant has argued linmitations not in the claim, e.g. that the "previous press nip" is in the wet end section of the paper machine. The web production machine has not been defined. WO 00/08462 shows the web production machine or wet end section in the Figure. The previous nip is not part of the web production machine of WO 00/08462 and thus would obviously not be in the wet end section of the web production machine, but prior to the wet end section of the web production machine of the Figure of WO 00/08462.